

Reaction Behavior of Propylene Oxide in Various Solvents in the Presence of Boron Trifluoride

Shigeru SUGIYAMA,* Shinji ÔHIGASHI, Kiyozumi SATO,
Sadao FUKUNAGA, and Hiromu HAYASHI

Department of Chemical Science and Technology, Faculty of Engineering,
The University of Tokushima, Minamijosanjima, Tokushima 770

(Received May 26, 1989)

Synopsis. The reaction behavior of propylene oxide with boron trifluoride was affected remarkably by solvent. In dioxane, the isomerization to propionaldehyde proceeded smoothly and selectively, while the reaction behavior in heptane, benzene, and tetrahydrofuran was quite different from that in dioxane.

Since Heusser et al. reported the use of boron trifluoride (BF_3) for the isomerization of substituted ethylene oxides,¹⁾ BF_3 has been used widely as a Lewis acid in organic synthesis.²⁾ In the reaction of propylene oxide, boron trifluoride etherate ($\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$) was used as a polymerization catalyst but has not been reported as an isomerization catalyst.³⁾ Generally, $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$ equilibrates in the exchange reactions with cyclic⁴⁾ and acyclic⁵⁾ ethers, while it dissociates to BF_3 and ether in CH_2Cl_2 to some extent.⁶⁾ It is hence expected that the acid strength of BF_3 may be adjusted by selection of solvent. In connection with our studies on epoxides,^{7,8)} we observed an interesting solvent effect in the isomerization of propylene oxide (**1**) to propionaldehyde (**2**) and acetone (**3**) with $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$. In heptane and benzene, polymerization of **1** occurred as expected, but the reaction behavior was greatly dependent on each solvent. In tetrahydrofuran (THF), the copolymerization of **1** and THF was observed as reported in the literature.^{9,10)} However, the isomerization to **2** proceeded smoothly and selectively in dioxane. To the best of our knowledge, such a behavior has not been previously described. The findings will be described herein.

Experimental

To a reaction solution (25 cm^3) of **1** (0.5 M) (1 M = 1 mol dm^{-3}), a known amount of $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$ was added and stirred magnetically at room temperature. The progress of the

reaction was monitored with a Hitachi 163 model gas chromatograph (3 mm ϕ $\times$ 3 m stainless steel column: 15% PEG 4000 on Uniport B at 70 $^\circ\text{C}$). After a given time, the reaction solution was treated with poly(4-vinylpyridine) (Aldrich; 7.6 mequiv g^{-1}) in order to remove the catalyst and filtered off. The filtrate was evaporated in vacuo at 50 $^\circ\text{C}$ until the weight of the residue became constant. In the reaction in THF, the reaction solution was directly analyzed by an IR spectrum. Solvents (Special Grade of Wako Co.), $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$ (Tokyo Kasei Co.), and **1** (Tokyo Kasei Co.) were used without further purifications. No isomers were found in the commercial **1** gas chromatographically. The IR spectra of the polymers and the reaction solution were recorded as liquid film inserted between NaCl plates. A Shimadzu IR-400 spectrophotometer was used.

Results and Discussion

Solvent Effect. Figure 1 illustrates the solvent




Fig. 1. Reaction behaviors of **1** with $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$ in various solvents. $\circ$: Recovery of **1**, Δ : yield of **2**, $\square$: yield of **3**. Open symbols: in dioxane, half-filled symbols: in benzene, filled symbols: in THF.

Table 1. Relative Acid Strength of $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$ in Various Solvents

Indicator ^{a)}	A	B	C	Ref.
Acid range	Dilute HCl	56—75% H_2SO_4	77—94% H_2SO_4	
Sample				
Concd H_2SO_4	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	
$\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\times$	
$\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2/\text{Et}_2\text{O}$	$\circ$	$\times$	$\times$	
$\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2/\text{Dioxane}$	$\circ$	$\times$	$\times$	
$\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2/\text{THF}$	$\circ$	$\times$	$\times$	
$\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2/\text{Heptane}^b)$	$\circ$	$\times$	$\times$	Heptane Layer
	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\times$	Etherate Layer
$\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2/\text{Benzene}$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\times$	

$\circ$: Color change identical with that caused by concd H_2SO_4 . a) A; 4-(Phenylazo)diphenylamine, B; benzylideneacetophenone, C; anthraquinone. b) Insoluble.

effect on the reaction behavior of **1** with a catalytic amount of $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$ (30 mg, 0.21 mmol). In heptane, $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$ did not dissolve completely but form fine oily drops. Immediately after the catalyst was added to a heptane solution of **1**, a brown oil separated and **1** was not detected in the reaction solution.

As shows in Fig. 1, **1** was consumed slowly in benzene during the reaction, but the isomers were scarcely formed. The product was identified as poly(propylene oxide) (PPO) by its IR spectrum,³ which was in agreement with the spectrum of the product obtained in heptane [IR ν : 3400, 2850, 1440, 1380, and 1080 cm^{-1}]. In THF, a reverse S-type curve was observed and remarkable constriction of the volume (ca. 2 cm^3) of the reaction medium (25 cm^3) occurred to give a viscous solution. The IR spectrum of the reaction solution indicated absorption due to alkyl ethers, overlapped with that of THF, and the absence of **1** [IR ν : 2850, 1450, 1360, 1060, and 900 cm^{-1}]. In the present case, copolymerization of **1** and THF by $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$ proceeded.^{9,10} In contrast, the isomerization of **1** to **2** proceeded smoothly and selectively in dioxane. In order to explain the present results, one should consider an actual acid strength of $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$ in each solvent. Table 1 lists the relative acid strength of $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$ in each solvent (1:2 by mole ratio) estimated by Hammett indicators.¹¹

In the case of oxygen-containing solvents, the acid strength of $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$ was decreased due to equilibrated trapping of free BF_3 from the etherate by the solvent. In benzene, the acid strength of $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$ was high, because benzene interacts only weakly with $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$. In heptane, a high acid strength must be held due to the low solubility of the etherate and the lack of Lewis basicity of the solvent. The polymerization of **1** therefore must need a relatively high acid strength as is shown in heptane and benzene solvents. The decrease in the reactivity of the polymerization in benzene is probably caused by a weak electron-donating character of benzene to decrease the acid strength of $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$. In cyclic ether solvents, different reaction behavior was observed, although the acid strength of each, estimated by Hammett indicators, was almost the same. It is known that the order of Lewis basicity of ether is as follows.¹²

THF > dioxane > propylene oxide > diethyl ether > epichlorohydrin

BF_3 -catalyzed copolymerization between ethers with different basicities such as THF-**19**,¹⁰ and THF-epichlorohydrin¹⁰ has been reported. However, in the present study, the isomerization of **1** instead of copolymerization predominated in the presence of $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$ in dioxane whose basicity is similar to that of **1**. BF_3 -catalyzed reactions seem to be remarkably affected by the Lewis basicities of the substrate and the solvent. In the isomerization of **1** to **2**, dioxane was found to be an effective solvent presumably due to the appropriate Lewis acidity arising from the exchange reactions between $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$, **1**, and dioxane. One may expect that an active species in the BF_3 -catalyzed reaction is a neutral oxonium salt as shown in the reaction of $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$, diethyl ether, and epichlorohy-




Fig. 2. Isomerization of **1** with $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$ in dioxane. O: Recovery of **1**, Δ : yield of **2**, $\square$: yield of **3**. Open symbols: 0.12 mmol of $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$, half-filled symbols: 0.21 mmol of $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$, filled symbols: 0.85 mmol of $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$.

drin to give triethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate.^{13,14} However, the participation of such a species was not described in the reports about BF_3 -catalyzed alkylation of benzene with ethers and alcohols,¹⁵ BF_3 -catalyzed polymerization of cyclic ethers,^{9,10} and BF_3 -catalyzed isomerization of epoxides in benzene and ether.¹⁶ Our results can also be explained by an action of BF_3 as described above. So we conclude that the present reaction of **1** in each solvent proceeds with BF_3 .

Effect of Catalyst Amount. The isomerization behavior of **1** to **2** and **3** with different amounts of catalyst are shown in Fig. 2.

In each case, a major product was **2**. The results are reasonable and consistent with a previous report.¹⁷ On addition of 0.12 mmol of $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$, the isomerization proceeded almost quantitatively, while the yield of **2** was 46% at 5 h. On addition of 0.21 mmol of the catalyst, the isomerization occurred more rapidly to give 68% yield within 5 h, but the selectivity was relatively poor, because of the polymerization of **1**. After 2 h, about 8% of **1** was isolated as PPO. In the case of 0.85 mmol, the substrate **1** disappeared completely within 30 min to yield 66% of **2**. The final yields of **2** were almost the same whenever 0.21 or 0.85 mmol of the catalyst was used. The addition of an excess of the catalyst in order to increase the yield of **2** thereby led to the polymerization of **1**, resulting in decrease in the selectivity without increase in the yield of **2**. In order to examine the possibility of the copolymerization of **1** and dioxane, the reaction of **1** (101 mmol) and dioxane (203 mmol) with $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$ (0.21 mmol) was carried out. In this case, only PPO was obtained and no copolymerization occurred.

In conclusion, for the isomerization of **1** to **2**, dioxane was the most favorable solvent. On increasing the amounts of catalyst, the simultaneous polymerization of **1** occurred to decrease the selectivity of **2**.

References

- 1) H. Heusser, K. Eichenberger, P. Kurath, H. R. Dallenbach, and O. Jeger, *Helv. Chem. Acta*, **34**, 2106 (1951).

- 2) A. P. Marchand, P. Annapurna, and S. P. Reddy, *J. Org. Chem.*, **54**, 187 (1989).
 - 3) Y. Minoura and M. Mitoh, *Makromol. Chem.*, **124**, 241 (1969).
 - 4) A. C. Rutenberg, A. A. Palko, and J. S. Drury, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **85**, 2702 (1963).
 - 5) M. Okada, K. Suyama, and Y. Yamashita, *Kogyo Kagaku Zasshi*, **68**, 1431 (1965).
 - 6) E. Gore and S. S. Danyluk, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **69**, 89 (1965).
 - 7) S. Sugiyama, S. Kubo, and H. Hayashi, *Chem. Express*, **4**, 433 (1989).
 - 8) S. Sugiyama, S. Ôhigashi, R. Sawa, and H. Hayashi, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, **62**, 3202 (1989).
 - 9) L. A. Dickinson, *J. Polym. Sci.*, **58**, 857 (1962).
 - 10) G. V. Korovina, D. D. Novikov, R. A. Barzikina, A. Y. Kuzaev, and S. G. Entelis, *J. Polym. Sci., Part C*, **1968**, 3575.
 - 11) D. L. Hawke and J. Steigman, *Anal. Chem.*, **26**, 1989 (1954).
 - 12) M. Ishimori and T. Tsuruta, *Kogyo Kagaku Zasshi*, **70**, 378 (1967).
 - 13) H. Meerwein, G. Hinz, P. Hofmann, E. Kroning, and E. Pfiel, *J. Prakt. Chem.*, [2] **147**, 257 (1937); H. Meerwein, *Org. Synth.*, **46**, 113 (1966).
 - 14) We thank a referee for drawing our attention to this paper.
 - 15) A. Streitwieser, Jr., D. P. Stevenson, and W. D. Schaeffer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **85**, 1110 (1959).
 - 16) H. O. House, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **77**, 3070 (1955).
 - 17) T. Imanaka, Y. Okamoto, and S. Teranishi, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, **45**, 1353 (1972).
-